Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s) OULD-BRAHIM, HAMID		
	10/657,939			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HIEU T. HOANG	2152		

HIEU I. HOAN	IG	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	er sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an at application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reperiods:	mendment, affidavi fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection	on.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ITHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitib have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the counder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutor, set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	rresponding amount of period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per properties. 	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the d (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/d (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	or search (see NOT	E below);			
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or 	eal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding not NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	umber of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submit non-allowable claim(s).		•			
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be enhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or all The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all reje showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ea	ctions under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place applicant's arguments are found unpersuasive.	e the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:					

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office